

Notes (very preliminary!) on downcasing:  
Kock, Anders: A simple axiomatics for differentiation.  
Math. Scand. 40 (1977), no. 2, 183-193.  
<http://www.mscand.dk/>  
<http://www.mscand.dk/article.php?id=2356>

The idea of “downcasing” is detailed here:  
<http://angg.twu.net/math-b.html#internal-diags-in-ct>  
<http://angg.twu.net/LATEX/2010diags.pdf>  
Its section 17 is about “ring objects of line type”.

Diagrams for the definition of the map  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times A \times A & & b, b', c \\
 \downarrow \lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3), a_1 + (a_2 \cdot a_3) & & \downarrow \\
 A & & b + b'c \\
 \\ 
 A \times A \times D \longleftarrow A \times A & & b, b_a, da \longleftarrow b, b_a \\
 \downarrow \bar{\alpha} & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\
 A & \longrightarrow & A^D \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 A & \longrightarrow & A^D \\
 \\ 
 b + b_a da \implies da \mapsto (b + b_a da) & & 
 \end{array}$$

K77's Proposition 1:  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow A^D$  is a morphism of ring objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \xrightarrow[1]{0} T\mathbb{R} \xleftarrow[+]{\cdot} (T\mathbb{R})^2 \\
 \\ 
 1 \xrightarrow[1]{0} (A \times A) \xleftarrow[+]{\cdot} (A \times A) \times (A \times A) \\
 \\ 
 * \xrightarrow{\quad} (0, 0) \\
 * \xrightarrow{\quad} (1, 0) \\
 (b + c, b_a + c_a) \longleftarrow (b, b_a), (c, c_a) \\
 (bc, b_a c + bc_a) \longleftarrow (b, b_a), (c, c_a) \\
 \\ 
 (A \times A) \xleftarrow{*} (A \times A) \times (A \times A) \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \times \alpha \\
 A^D & \xleftarrow{m^D} & (A \times A)^D \\
 & & \updownarrow \cong \\
 & & A^D \times A^D \\
 \\ 
 (bc, b_a c + bc_a) \xleftarrow{*} (b, b_a), (c, c_a) \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \times \alpha \\
 da \mapsto bc + (b_a c + bc_a) da & & (da \mapsto b + b_a da), (da \mapsto c + c_a da) \\
 \updownarrow \cong \\
 da \mapsto (b + b_a da)(c + c_a da) \xleftarrow{m^D} da \mapsto (b + b_a da, c + c_a da)
 \end{array}$$

The translation to  $\lambda$ -calculus:

Let  $\ulcorner 0 \urcorner^T := \lambda * .(0, 0)$ .

Let  $\ulcorner 1 \urcorner^T := \lambda * .(1, 0)$ .

Let  $+^T := \lambda((b, b_a), (c, c_a)).(b + c, b_a + c_a)$ .

Let  $.^T := \lambda((b, b_a), (c, c_a)).(bc, b_a c + b c_a)$ .

The  $(\ulcorner 0 \urcorner^T, \ulcorner 1 \urcorner^T, +^T, .^T)$  is a ring object.

Let  $\ulcorner 0 \urcorner^D := \lambda * .\lambda da.0$ .

Let  $\ulcorner 1 \urcorner^D := \lambda * .\lambda da.1$ .

Let  $+^D := \lambda(f_\Delta, g_\Delta), \lambda da.(f(da) + g(da))$ .

Let  $.^D := \lambda(f_\Delta, g_\Delta), \lambda da.(f(da)g(da))$ .

The  $(\ulcorner 0 \urcorner^D, \ulcorner 1 \urcorner^D, +^D, .^D)$  is a ring object.

Let  $\tilde{\alpha} := \lambda(b, b_a, da).(b + b_a da)$ .

Let  $\alpha := \lambda(b, b_a).\lambda da.(b + b_a da)$ .

Then  $\alpha$  is a ring homomorphism.

Let  $\hat{\dagger} := \lambda a.\lambda da.(a + da)$ .

Let  $\tau := \lambda a.\langle a, 1 \rangle$ .

Then  $\tau; \alpha = \hat{\dagger}$ .

Let  $\beta^{\natural} := \lambda f_\Delta.f_\Delta(0)$ .

Then  $\alpha; \beta^{\natural} = \pi$ .

From now on let's suppose that  $\alpha$  is an iso.

Let  $\beta := \alpha^{-1}; \pi$ .

Let  $\gamma := \alpha^{-1}; \pi'$ .

Then  $\beta = \beta^{\natural}$ .

Let's now define the derivative of a function  $f : A \rightarrow A$ .

Let  $f' := \lambda a.\gamma(\lambda da.f(a + da))$ .

First Taylor lemma:  $\lambda(a, da).f(a + da) = \lambda(a, da).f(a) + f'(a)da$ .

Abbreviated form:  $f(a + da) = f(a) + f'(a)da$ .

Let  $(f + g) := \lambda a.f(a) + g(a)$ .

Let  $(fg) := \lambda a.f(a)g(a)$ .

Let  $(f \circ g) := \lambda a.f(g(a))$ .

Product rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (fg)(a + da) &= f(a + da)g(a + da) \\
 &= (f(a) + f'(a)da)(g(a) + g'(a)da) \\
 &= f(a)g(a) + (f'(a)g(a) + f(a)g'(a))da + f'(a)g'(a)da^2 \\
 &= f(a)g(a) + (f'(a)g(a) + f(a)g'(a))da \\
 &= (fg)(a) + (f'g + fg')(a)da
 \end{aligned}$$

Chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f \circ g)(a + da) &= f(g(a + da)) \\
 &= f(g(a) + g'(a)da) \\
 &= f(g(a)) + f'(g(a))g'(a)da \\
 &= (f \circ g)(a) + ((f' \circ g)g')(a)da
 \end{aligned}$$

(Section 17 of the “Internal Diagrams” paper:)

Let  $(R, \lceil 0 \rceil, \lceil 1 \rceil, +, \cdot)$  be a commutative ring in a CCC. That means: we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\lceil 0 \rceil} & A \xrightarrow{+} A \times A \\ & \xrightarrow{\lceil 1 \rceil} & \xrightarrow{\cdot} \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 1 \\ & & a+b \xleftarrow{\quad} a, b \\ & & ab \xleftarrow{\quad} a, b \end{array}$$

and the morphisms  $\lceil 0 \rceil, \lceil 1 \rceil, +, \cdot$  behave as expected.

Let  $D$  be the set of zero-square infinitesimals of  $A$ , i.e.,  $\{\varepsilon \in A \mid \varepsilon^2 = 0\}$ ;  $D$  can be defined categorically as an equalizer. If we take  $A := \mathbb{R}$ , then  $D = \{0\}$ ; but if we let  $A$  be a ring with nilpotent infinitesimals, then  $\{0\} \subsetneq A$ .

The main theorem of [Kock77] says that if the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha : A \times A & \rightarrow & (D \rightarrow A) \\ (a, b) & \mapsto & \lambda \varepsilon : D. (a + b\varepsilon) \end{array}$$

is invertible, then we can use  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  to *define* the derivative of maps from  $A$  to  $A$  — every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A$  in the category  $\mathbf{C}$  will be “differentiable” —, and the resulting differentiation operation  $f \mapsto f'$  behaves as expected: we have, for example,  $(fg)' = f'g + fg'$  and  $(f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g)g'$ .

Commutative rings with the property that their map  $\alpha$  is invertible are called *ring objects of line type*. ROLTs are hard to construct, so most of the proofs about them have to be done in a very abstract setting. However, if we can use the following downcasings for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  — note that  $\beta = (\alpha^{-1}; \pi)$ , that  $\gamma = (\alpha^{-1}; \pi')$ , and that these notations do not make immediately obvious that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  are inverses —,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftarrow{\pi} & A \times A & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & A \\ & \searrow \beta & \downarrow \alpha & \uparrow \alpha^{-1} & \nearrow \gamma \\ & & (D \rightarrow A) & & \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xleftarrow{\pi} & a, b & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & b & f(0) & \xleftarrow{\pi} & (f(0), f'(0)) & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & f'(0) \\ & \searrow \beta & \downarrow \alpha & \uparrow \alpha^{-1} & \nearrow \gamma & & \searrow \beta & \downarrow \alpha^{-1} & \nearrow \gamma \\ & & (\varepsilon \mapsto a + b\varepsilon) & & & & & & & (\varepsilon \mapsto f(\varepsilon)) \end{array}$$

and then all the proofs in the first two sections of [Kock77] can be reconstructed from half-diagrammatic, half- $\lambda$ -calculus-style proofs, done in the archetypal language, where the intuitive content is clear. This will be shown in a sequel to [OchsHyp].